

A SEMANTIC STUDY OF ἀϋθέντης AND ITS DERIVATIVES*

Al Wolters

Redeemer University College, Ontario, Canada

The word ἀϋθέντης and its derivatives have occasioned a great deal of scholarly discussion. An initial series of studies (1909–1962) focused especially on ἀϋθέντης itself, and was carried out by classical scholars, notably those by J. Psichari,¹ L. Gernet,² P. Kretschmer,³ W. Kamps,⁴ P. Chantraine,⁵ A. Dihle,⁶ and F. Zucker.⁷ More recently (1979–1995), New Testament scholars have begun to show an interest in this word and its derivatives, especially in connection with the verb ἀϋθεντέω, which occurs in the disputed verse 1 Tim. 2.12. Noteworthy

* I would like to thank the following scholars for commenting on earlier drafts of this article: H.S. Baldwin, S. Baugh, M. Silva, J.A.D. Weima, and M.O. Wise.

1. J. Psichari, 'Efendi', in *Mélanges offerts à Louis Havet par ses élèves et ses amis* (Paris: Hachette, 1909; repr. Geneva: Slatkine Reprints, 1972), pp. 387-427, esp. pp. 411-27.

2. L. Gernet, 'ἀϋθέντης', *Revue des études grecques* 22 (1909), pp. 13-32.

3. P. Kretschmer, 'Griechisches: 6. ἀϋθέντης', *Glotta* 3 (1912), pp. 289-93.

4. W. Kamps, 'ἀϋθέντης', *Archives d'histoire du droit oriental* 3 (1947–1948), pp. 231-36.

5. P. Chantraine, 'Encore ἀϋθέντης', in *Ἀφιέρωμα στὴ μνήμη τοῦ Μανολῆ Τριανταφυλλίδη* (Thessaloniki: Instituton Neoellēnikōn Spoudōn, 1960), pp. 89-93, and *idem*, *Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue grecque: Histoire des mots*. I. A–Δ (Paris: Klincksieck, 1968), pp. 138-39.

6. A. Dihle, 'ἀϋθέντης', *Glotta* 39 (1960), pp. 77-83.

7. F. Zucker, 'ἀϋθέντης und Ableitungen', *Sitzungsberichte der Sächsischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Leipzig, Philologisch-historische Klasse* 107.4 (Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 1962), pp. 3-26.

contributions have been made by C. Kroeger,⁸ A.J. Panning,⁹ G.W. Knight III,¹⁰ L.E. Wilshire,¹¹ A.C. Perriman,¹² and H.S. Baldwin.¹³ The difficulty is that ἀυθέντης appears to have three distinct senses in ancient Greek (‘murderer’, ‘master’, and ‘doer’), and it is a matter of dispute both how these senses are related among themselves, and how they influence the meaning of the derivatives of ἀυθέντης. For New Testament scholars, the issue is whether ἀυθεντέω in 1 Tim. 2.12 is based on the meaning ‘master’, thus yielding the traditional rendering ‘have authority over’ (possibly with the pejorative connotation of ‘domineering’), or whether it is semantically indebted to one or both of the other two senses of ἀυθέντης.

In the present article, without focusing specifically on the one occurrence of ἀυθεντέω in the New Testament, I propose to sketch the semantic contours of this word family from its earliest attestation in Attic drama to late antiquity. More specifically, I will survey most or all occurrences of both ἀυθέντης and its cognates until the year AD 312

8. C.C. Kroeger, ‘Ancient Heresies and a Strange Greek Verb’, *Reformed Journal* 29.3 (March 1979), pp. 12-15; and R.C. Kroeger and C.C. Kroeger, *I Suffer Not a Woman: Rethinking 1 Timothy 2:11-15 in Light of Ancient Evidence* (Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1992), esp. pp. 79-104.

9. A.J. Panning, ‘ἀυθέντης—A Word Study’, *Wisconsin Lutheran Quarterly* 78 (1981), pp. 185-91.

10. G.W. Knight III, ‘ἀυθεντέω in Reference to Women in 1 Timothy 2.12’, *NTS* 30 (1984), pp. 143-57.

11. L.E. Wilshire, ‘The TLG Computer and Further Reference to ἀυθεντέω in 1 Timothy 2.12’, *NTS* 34 (1988), pp. 120-34; and *idem*, ‘1 Timothy 2:12 Revisited: A Reply to Paul W. Barnett and Timothy J. Harris’, *EvQ* 65 (1993), pp. 43-55. Although the present essay covers much of the same ground as Wilshire’s first article, it assesses the evidence quite differently. I will occasionally note points where I differ from Wilshire, but pass over many others in silence.

12. A.C. Perriman, ‘What Eve Did, What Women Shouldn’t Do: The Meaning of ἀυθεντέω in 1 Timothy 2:12’, *TynBul* 44 (1993), pp. 129-42.

13. H.S. Baldwin, ‘A Difficult Word—ἀυθεντέω in 1 Timothy 2:12’, in A.J. Köstenberger, T.R. Schreiner and H.S. Baldwin (eds.), *Women in the Church: A Fresh Analysis of 1 Timothy 2:9-15* (Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1995), pp. 65-80. See also H.S. Baldwin, ‘Appendix 2: ἀυθεντέω in Ancient Greek Literature’ in *ibid.*, pp. 269-305. Baldwin’s investigation deals only with the verb ἀυθεντέω, and examines its usage well into medieval times, whereas the present essay deals with the entire ἀυθέντης family, concentrating on the pre-Constantinian era. Consequently, my study overlaps with Baldwin’s only in its treatment of the few pre-AD 312 occurrences of the verb.

(the year of Constantine's conversion), and make incidental observations about their use from 312 onward.¹⁴ It is possible to do a near-exhaustive survey of this time period with the help of the *Thesaurus Linguae Graecae*, supplemented by the Duke Data Bank of Documentary Papyri.¹⁵

The Noun ἀθέντης

I begin with the noun ἀθέντης (also occasionally written ἀτοέντης). As already noted, this word appears to have the three basic senses, 'murderer', 'master', and 'doer'.¹⁶

The meaning 'murderer' is attested 24 times in the classical literature of the fifth and fourth centuries BC, almost all of them in Attic writers.¹⁷ As Gernet and others have pointed out, ἀθέντης in this literature has the specific meaning of 'kin-murderer', one who is guilty of the particularly heinous crime of slaying his or her own flesh and blood (comparable to the Latin *parricida* and the Irish *fingal*).¹⁸ After the Golden Age of ancient Greek literature, this meaning becomes relatively rare, occurring mainly in Atticistic writers.¹⁹ In fact, as Appendix A1 shows, in the seven centuries which separate its last occurrence in the early fourth century BC from AD 312, ἀθέντης 'murderer' is attested only 16 times. After this date it becomes even more sporadic.

14. Although to some extent arbitrary, serving simply to delimit the material to be covered exhaustively, the date 312 also marks the threshold of the Golden Age of Greek patristic literature in the fourth and fifth centuries AD. On the overall historical significance of the date, see also R. MacMullen, 'The Meaning of A.D. 312: The Difficulty of Converting the Empire', in *The 17th International Byzantine Congress: Major Papers* (New Rochelle, NY: Aristide D. Caratzas, 1986), pp. 1-16.

15. Accessible at <http://www.perseus.tufts.edu/Texts/papyri.html>.

16. Kretschmer, 'ἀθέντης', p. 290; cf. Dihle, 'ἀθέντης', p. 78.

17. See Appendix A1.

18. Gernet, 'ἀθέντης', pp. 24-27; cf. Kamps, 'ἀθέντης', p. 234, and Zucker, 'ἀθέντης', pp. 4-7, 14.

19. Cf. Gernet, 'ἀθέντης', p. 31: 'le mot, pour signifier l'auteur responsable d'un meurtre, ne vécut guère, il semble, après la fin du Ve siècle'. Others claim that after the mid-fourth century ἀθέντης 'murderer' 'so gut wie ausgestorben scheint' (Zucker, 'ἀθέντης', p. 8; cf. pp. 10, 14, 15), or even that 'le mot sort de la langue' (Kamps, 'ἀθέντης', p. 235). A more accurate assessment is that of Kretschmer, 'ἀθέντης', p. 290: 'In der Κοινή kommt die Bedeutung "Mörder" nur noch vereinzelt vor'.

αὐθέντης in the meaning ‘master’ has a very different history. It occurs once in a disputed passage of the *Suppliants* of Euripides (*Suppl.* 442),²⁰ but does not surface again before the turn of the era, after which ‘master’ gradually becomes the dominant sense of the word, ultimately leading via the Modern Greek ἀφέντης to the Turkish word *effendi*, still meaning ‘master’.²¹ Its earliest attestations after Euripides are in two recently discovered inscriptions from Asia Minor dated to the first century AD,²² and in the *Shepherd of Hermas* (first or second century).²³

I have identified some 30 examples of this meaning in the extant Greek literature which predates AD 312.²⁴ It should be pointed out that in none of these cases is ‘master’ used in the pejorative sense of ‘autocrat’ or ‘despot’. In fact, it is used twice in Christian contexts to refer to the lordship of Jesus Christ.²⁵ Furthermore, I have found no evidence to support Dihle’s contention that αὐθέντης in this sense refers primarily to a ‘boss’ in the workplace.²⁶

The third sense of αὐθέντης is very rare. In fact, the meaning ‘doer’ is attested only three times (some would say four) before AD 312, and occurs only in conjunction with the genitive of a noun designating an activity. One example is found in Polybius (second century BC), and the other two in Diodorus Siculus (first century BC), all three designating the doer or perpetrator of an action.²⁷ The meaning ‘doer’ is unattested in

20. αὐθέντης here is sometimes emended to εὐθοντής or εὐθοντήρ, but its authenticity is defended in C. Collard, *Euripides, Supplices. II. Commentary* (Groningen: Bouma, 1975), pp. 228-29. D. Kovacs (‘Tyrants and Demagogues in Tragic Interpolation’, *GRBS* 23 [1982], pp. 36-39) also accepts the reading αὐθέντης, but argues that the context in which it occurs (lines 442-55) is a later interpolation.

21. Psichari, ‘Efendi’, pp. 287-95.

22. See *SEG* 34.260.25 (= *Die Inschriften von Klaudiu Polis* [ed. F. Becker-Bertau; Bonn: Habelt, 1986], 70.25), and *SEG* 39.1180.109 and 123.

23. *Hermas, Sim.* 9.5.6. αὐθέντης here occurs as a synonym of κύριος (9.5.2) and δεσπότης (9.5.7). See Zucker, ‘αὐθέντης’, p. 18. Cf. N. Brox, *Der Hirt des Hermas* (Kommentar zu den Apostolischen Vätern, 7; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1991), pp. 392-93, who translates all three words as *Herr*.

24. See Appendix A2.

25. See *Sib. Or.* 7.69 and 8.309.

26. See Dihle, ‘αὐθέντης’, pp. 79-80.

27. See Appendix A3: Polybius, *Hist.* 22.14.2.3 (πράξεως); Diodorus Siculus, *Bib. Hist.* 16.61.1.3 (ιεροσυλίας) and 17.5.4.6 (ἀνομημάτων). The occurrence of αὐθέντης in Diodorus Siculus, *Bib. Hist. Frag.* 34/5.25.1, which is sometimes understood in the sense of ‘doer’, probably means ‘master’, and betrays the hand of the Byzantine excerptor.

the first three centuries after Christ, and continues to be rare thereafter.²⁸ It should be noted, however, that αὐθέντης in this sense regularly means ‘author’ or ‘initiator’ of an *action*, not of a person or object. Consequently, the translation ‘creator’, which is occasionally found, must be rejected.²⁹

The rarity and lateness of αὐθέντης ‘doer’, as well as its exclusive association with the genitive of words denoting action, give reason to believe that this usage of the word is only seemingly distinct from that of αὐθέντης ‘master’. The doer or initiator of an action is conceived of as the *master* of that action, the one who is in charge of the action. There is a similar use of other Greek words meaning ‘master’ or ‘chief’, for example, ἄνασσα and ἀρχηγός.³⁰ There are also parallels in other languages, as in Latin *auctor* and *princeps*.³¹ In other words, as the lexicon of Liddell–Scott–Jones recognizes, the meanings ‘doer’ and ‘master’ for αὐθέντης belong under the same semantic heading.³²

It is clear from the above that in reality the two basic senses of αὐθέντης in ancient Greek were ‘murderer’ and ‘master’, and that the latter gradually eclipsed the former. In fact, there are many indications, beginning in the second century AD, that the ordinary meaning of αὐθέντης in Hellenistic Greek was ‘master’, and that ‘murderer’ had become a poorly understood literary sense.

28. I have found post-AD 312 examples mainly in the writings of Eusebius, where it perhaps reflects the influence of Latin *auctor*; see his *Eccl. Hist.* 8.16.2; *Dem. evang.* 1.7.1.4; 3.1.3.5; *Eccl. Theol.* 3.5. It is also found in *Const.* 2.54, as part of Eusebius’s translation of a Latin speech by Constantine. The claim that ‘Clemens, Athanasius und Eusebius das Wort αὐθέντης nur in der Bedeutung “Urheber, Täter” verwenden’ (Dihle, ‘αὐθέντης’, p. 83) is true only of Eusebius. Note that the one example of αὐθέντης in Athanasius occurs in the citation of an originally Latin document (*PG XXV*, col. 353C).

29. See, e.g., Ps.-Clement, *Hom.* 18.12.1.4 in the translation of J. Donaldson, *The Ante-Nicene Fathers* (Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1867–1897; repr. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1989), VIII, p. 327 (‘sole creator’), and *Sib. Or.* 7.69 in the translation of A. Kurfess, *Sibyllinische Weissagungen* (Munich: Heimeran, 1951), p. 155 (‘Schöpfer’). In both places the translation ‘master’ is to be preferred.

30. See LSJ, s.vv. ἄνασσα (‘queen’ and ‘authoress’) and ἀρχηγός (‘chief’ and ‘originator’). It seems probable that the masculine ἄναξ had the same semantic range as the feminine ἄνασσα, but the lexica do not record the meaning ‘author’ for the former.

31. See C.T. Lewis and C. Short, *A Latin Dictionary* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1896), s.vv. *auctor* (‘leader’ and ‘doer’), and *princeps* (‘chief’ and ‘author’).

32. LSJ, s.v., p. 2. See also Dihle, ‘αὐθέντης’, p. 79.

This point emerges clearly from a number of Atticistic lexical works, which warn their readers against using ἀυθέντης in the current sense ‘master’, but are unclear on the proper Attic meaning of the word. One of the earliest of these is the lexicon of Aelius Dionysius (early second century AD), which explains ἀυθέντης as meaning ‘not the *master*, but the murderer by ἀυτοχειρία’.³³ This is a correct definition of Attic usage if ἀυτοχειρία is understood to mean parricide or kin-murder, but subsequent Atticistic lexicographers appear to have misunderstood this term, so that they began to define classical ἀυθέντης as properly meaning ἀυτόχειρ, either as someone who murders with his own hands, or as someone who murders himself (that is, a suicide).³⁴ The same confusion is found in a number of ancient scholia on ἀυθέντης in classical authors, which all agree that the current meaning ‘master’ does not apply, but disagree on the proper Attic meaning that does.³⁵ As Gernet, Chantraine, and Zucker have pointed out, the definitions given in these lexica and scholia (which have continued to exercise their influence in modern lexicography) do not correspond to actual Greek usage.³⁶ (The single exception to this rule in Dio Cassius will be dealt with separately below.) The lexica and scholia simply illustrate the fact that ἀυθέντης in the meaning ‘kin-murderer’ was no longer a living part of the language after the turn of the era.

33. H. Erbse, *Untersuchungen zu den attizistischen Lexika* (Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 1950), p. 111 (#194): ἀυθέντης: ουχ ὁ δεσπότης, ἀλλ’ ὁ ἀυτοχειρία φονεύς.

34. See, e.g., Harpocration, *Lexicon in decem Oratores Atticos* (ed. W. Dindorf; Oxford: Typographeo academico, 1853; repr. Groningen: Bouma, 1969), 66.7; *Die Ekloge des Phrynichos* (ed. E. Fischer; Berlin: de Gruyter, 1974), p. 68 (#89); *Suidae Lexicon* (ed. A. Adler; Leipzig: Teubner, 1928), p. 412 (#4426). The meaning ‘suicide’ is sometimes assigned to the Attic writer Antiphon, but this is based on a misunderstanding; see Gernet, ‘ἀυθέντης’, pp. 15-16, and Zucker, ‘ἀυθέντης’, pp. 9-10. Note that the *Revised Supplement* of LSJ (ed. P.G.W. Glare; Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1996) now deletes the meaning ‘suicide’.

35. See, e.g., the scholia on Thucydides, *Hist.* 8.58.5 (cited in Chantraine, ‘ἀυθέντης’, p. 91) and Apollonius Rhodius, *Argon.* 2.754 (*Scholia in Apollonium Rhodium Vetera* [ed. C. Wendel; 1935; repr. Berlin: Weidmann, 1958], p. 186).

36. Gernet, ‘ἀυθέντης’, pp. 14-16; Chantraine, ‘ἀυθέντης’, p. 90; Zucker, ‘ἀυθέντης’, pp. 3, 11, 15. On the unreliability of the ancient lexical works in general, see J. Chadwick, *Lexicographica Graeca: Contributions to the Lexicography of Ancient Greek* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1996), p. 14.

The fact that αὐθέντης ‘murderer’ was no longer understood is clearly demonstrated by the ancient versions of Wis. 12.6. The author of this work (to be dated around the turn of the era)³⁷ was sufficiently literate to be able to use αὐθέντης in its correct Attic sense of ‘kin-murderer’ — in this case referring specifically to Canaanite parents who killed their own offspring in child sacrifice. Although the context (which has been shown to draw heavily on the language of the Attic tragedians)³⁸ makes it very clear that this is the meaning intended, none of the ancient versions understood it correctly. The Old Latin (originally done in the second century AD, and later incorporated into the Vulgate)³⁹ has *auctores*, probably meaning ‘progenitors’.⁴⁰ The Peshitta fails to render the word altogether.⁴¹ The Armenian has ‘masters’,⁴² as

37. See C. Larcher, *Le livre de la Sagesse ou La Sagesse de Salomon* (3 vols.; Paris: Gabalda, 1983–1985), I, p. 161 (about 31–10 BC); and D. Winston, *The Wisdom of Solomon* (AB, 43; Garden City, NY: Doubleday, 1979), p. 23 (first half of first century AD).

38. See D. Gill, ‘The Greek Sources of Wisdom XII 3-7’, *VT* 15 (1965), pp. 383-86. According to Gill, a striking feature of this passage is ‘the great number of words and phrases reminiscent of the language of Greek tragedy’ (p. 384), one example of which is αὐθέντης in v. 6 (p. 385).

39. Larcher, *Livre de la Sagesse*, I, pp. 60-61.

40. See *Thesaurus Linguae Latinae*, which lists Wis. 12.6 under *auctor* IV,2 (‘generis conditor’). The rendering of αὐθέντας by *auctores* is one of a number of mistranslations in the Old Latin of the book of Wisdom. See P. Thielmann, ‘Die lateinische Übersetzung des Buches der Weisheit’, *Archiv für lateinische Lexikographie* 8 (1893), pp. 253-77 (263); R. Cornely, *Commentarius in Librum Sapientiae* (Cursus Scripturae Sacrae; Paris: Lethielleux, 1910), pp. 437-38; A.T.S. Goodrick, *The Book of Wisdom* (Oxford Church Bible Commentary; New York: Macmillan, 1913), p. 260; W. Thiele, *Vetus Latina: Die Reste der altlateinischen Bibel 11/1: Sapientia Salomonis* (Freiburg: Herder, 1979), pp. 214-15.

41. See the text and critical apparatus in J.A. Emerton and D.J. Lane, ‘Wisdom of Solomon’, in *The Old Testament in Syriac according to the Peshitta Version II/5: Proverbs—Wisdom of Solomon—Ecclesiastes—Song of Songs* (Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1979), p. 19. The Syriac corresponding to the Greek καὶ αὐθέντας γονεῖς ψυχῶν ἀβοηθήτων is *wl’ hw’* ‘*wdrn’ lnpšt’ dbny’ mhwn*, ‘and there was no help for the souls of the children of their people’.

42. The Armenian word in question is *chokh*. As a noun, this word means ‘master, lord, grand seigneur’. See M. Bedrossian, *New Dictionary Armenian–English* (Venice: St Lazarus Armenian Academy, 1875–1879; repr. Beirut: Librairie du Liban, 1973), p. 444. The meaning of the Armenian here is misleadingly given as ‘les riches’ in Larcher, *Livre de la Sagesse*, III, p. 710. (I am grateful to Claude Cox of the McMaster Divinity College, Hamilton, Ontario, for help with the Armenian.)

does the Syro-Hexapla,⁴³ while the Arabic has ‘suicides’.⁴⁴ The last two renderings are clear examples of the influence, respectively, of current Hellenistic usage and the Atticistic lexica. It would be a great mistake to take any of these renderings as an indication of the true meaning of ἀυθέντης in this passage.⁴⁵

The conclusion which can be drawn from the foregoing discussion is that the two main senses of ἀυθέντης in post-classical Greek, namely ‘murderer’ and ‘master’, belong to two quite different registers of the language.⁴⁶ The former is an Attic usage which was artificially kept alive by a few authors with literary pretensions, but which was no longer understood by the great majority of Greek-speakers. The latter is the meaning of common usage, which is first attested (after its isolated occurrence in Euripides) in non-literary sources. It is telling that the first occurrence of ἀυθέντης ‘master’ in a Hellenistic literary work is found in the *Shepherd of Hermas*, a work whose language belongs to the lower Koine.⁴⁷

There thus seems to be ample confirmation of the view (first proposed by Thumb in 1901, and often repeated thereafter)⁴⁸ that ἀυθέντης with

43. The Syriac word in the Syro-Hexapla is *šālītā*, which does not have the pejorative connotation of the French rendering ‘despotes’ given in Larcher, *Livre de la Sagesse*, III, p. 710. See R. Payne Smith, *Thesaurus Syriacus* (2 vols.; Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1879–1901), col. 4180, which gives the meaning ‘praefectus’, citing this place. The basic meaning is simply ‘ruler’.

44. Goodrick, *Book of Wisdom*, p. 260; cf. Larcher, *Livre de la Sagesse*, III, p. 710.

45. Pace Kroeger, ‘Ancient Heresies’, p. 13; Kroeger and Kroeger, *I Suffer Not*, p. 100.

46. On the concept of ‘register’, see J. Cheshire, ‘Register and Style’, in *International Encyclopedia of Linguistics* (4 vols.; Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1992), III, pp. 324–26. For its application to Greek, see R. Browning, ‘The Language of Byzantine Literature’, in S. Vryonis, Jr (ed.), *The Past in Medieval and Modern Greek Culture* (Byzantina kai Metabyzantina, 1; Malibu: Undena, 1978), pp. 103–33; reprinted in R. Browning, *History, Language and Literacy in the Byzantine World* (Northampton: Variorum Reprints, 1989), no. XV.

47. See Brox, *Hirt des Hermas*, p. 43.

48. A. Thumb, *Die griechische Sprache im Zeitalter des Hellenismus: Beiträge zur Geschichte und Beurteilung der KOINH* (Strassburg: Trübner, 1901), p. 221. See also Psichari, ‘Efendi’, pp. 395, 400; and Brox, *Hirt des Hermas*, p. 397. The same point is made with respect to the verb ἀυθεντέω by A. Deissmann, *Light from the Ancient East* (trans. L.R.M. Strachan; London: Hodder & Stoughton, 1910), pp. 85–86; A. Souter, *A Pocket Lexicon to the Greek New Testament* (Oxford: Clarendon

the meaning ‘master’ belonged to colloquial Greek (attested once in Euripides, but otherwise absent from literary sources until the *Shepherd of Hermas*), while αὐθέντης ‘murderer’ was at home only in the literary language of the classical period. By the first century AD, αὐθέντης in the living language meant ‘master’, and the meaning ‘murderer’ was largely forgotten.

The Derivatives of αὐθέντης

I turn now to the cognates of αὐθέντης, which are all chronologically later than αὐθέντης itself, and derived from it. The semantic picture here is much less complicated, since the senses of the derivatives, as Chantraine has pointed out, are all based on αὐθέντης in the meaning ‘master’.⁴⁹ This is not surprising, because the derivatives do not begin to appear until well after the time that αὐθέντης ‘murderer’ was in common use, and because αὐθέντης ‘doer’, as we have seen, was itself dependent on the meaning ‘master’. It seems that αὐθέντης ‘master’, although it only appears once in extant literary texts before the first century AD, began to be productive in the formation of other words of similar meaning a century or two earlier.

We shall deal with the derivatives in the order of their first attestation.

1. αὐθεντικός (*Including the Adverbial Form αὐθεντικῶς*)

The meaning of this adjective is basically ‘authoritative’, and in a secondary sense ‘original’. The meaning ‘authoritative’ (that is, ‘masterful’) is well-attested; it is found in the letters of Cicero (first century BC) and in the *Tetrabiblos* of Ptolemy (second century AD), as well as later patristic and astrological literature.⁵⁰ We find a striking example of this sense in Origen (third century), where the adverb αὐθεντικῶς is contrasted with δουλικῶς to describe the sovereign

Press, 1913), s.v.; J.H. Moulton and W.F. Howard, *A Grammar of New Testament Greek*, II (Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1929), p. 278; and J.H. Moulton and G. Milligan, *The Vocabulary of the Greek New Testament Illustrated from the Papyri and Other Non-Literary Sources* (London: Hodder & Stoughton, 1952), s.v.

49. Chantraine, *Dictionnaire étymologique*, p. 138: ‘C’est au sens de “maître” que αὐθέντης a fourni des dérivés, tous tardifs’. See also Zucker, ‘αὐθέντης’, p. 14.

50. Cicero, *Att.* 9.14.2 and 10.9.1; Ptolemy, *Apotelesmatika (Tetrabiblos)* 4.3.6 (p. 178 in the Boll–Boer edition); 4.4.11 (p. 184); 4.7.5 (p. 195); 4.7.10 (p. 197); 4.10.9 (p. 207). See also Clement of Alexandria, *Strom.* 1.7.38.6, and Vettius Valens, *Anthologiae, Appendix I* (ed. D. Pingree; Leipzig: Teubner, 1986), 381.21.

operation of the Holy Spirit.⁵¹ Altogether, I have identified ten examples of this usage before AD 312.⁵²

However, since the papyrological discoveries of the nineteenth century, the more commonly attested meaning of ἀϑεντικός is the secondary sense ‘original’, especially as applied to legal documents. I have collected some 42 examples of this meaning in extant Greek writings dated before AD 312.⁵³ Although this sense has often been related to the word ἀτόχειρ, which occurs in the Atticistic definitions of ἀθέντης ‘murderer’, so that ἀθεντικός applied to documents is said to mean ‘written in the author’s own hand’, and thus ‘original’,⁵⁴ this semantic derivation is clearly mistaken. As a number of scholars have pointed out, ἀθεντικός meaning ‘original’ is based on the meaning ‘authoritative’.⁵⁵ The original copy of a legal document is the only one that is legally binding, and is thus the only one properly called ‘authoritative’. Just as we speak in English of a ‘master copy’ to refer to an original from which copies are made, so the Greeks gave the name ‘masterful’ or ‘authoritative’ to the original of a contract or will. It is telling that the modern derivatives of ἀθεντικός, like English ‘authentic’, also have this as their first meaning.⁵⁶

51. Origen, *Fragmentum 116 in Lamentationes* (PG XIII, col. 660B), also published in *Origenes Werke* (GCS, 3; ed. E. Klostermann; Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1901), 277.7.

52. See the places marked with an asterisk in Appendix B. It is probably because Wilshire does not recognize this meaning that he excludes ἀθεντικός and ἀθεντικῶς from his survey of ἀθεντέω and its cognates (‘The TLG Computer’, pp. 120-21).

53. See the unmarked places in Appendix B (excluding the two places listed in note 57).

54. See, e.g., Kretschmer, ‘ἀθέντης’, p. 290 (‘eigenhändig’); W. Scott, *Hermetica* (4 vols.; Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1924–1936), II, p. 17 n. 1 (‘written or signed by the man himself’); *LPGL*, s.v. (‘in author’s hand’); Kroeger and Kroeger, *I Suffer Not*, p. 101 (‘written with the author’s own hand’).

55. See Psichari, ‘ἀθέντης’, p. 412 n. 1; B. Kübler, ‘ἴσον und ἀντίγραφον’, *Zeitschrift der Savigny-Stiftung für Rechtsgeschichte: Romanistische Abteilung* 53 (1933), pp. 67-68; Dihle, ‘ἀθέντης’, pp. 80-81; Chantraine, *Dictionnaire étymologique*, p. 138; H.J. Wolff, *Das Recht der griechischen Papyri Ägyptens in der Zeit der Ptolemäer und des Prinzipats. II. Organisation und Kontrolle des privaten Rechtsverkehrs* (Munich: Beck, 1978), p. 108 n. 7.

56. See *Oxford English Dictionary*, s.v. ‘authentic’, A,1. The meaning ‘authoritative’ is also attested for French *authentique*, Italian *autentico*, etc.

There are also two examples in our time period where the adverb ἀϑεντικῶς is used as a synonym of κυρίως, meaning ‘in the proper sense of the word’, or ‘non-metaphorically’.⁵⁷ The parallel with κυρίως makes it clear that here too the meaning is derived from ἀϑέντης ‘master’.

The very earliest attestation of the adjective ἀϑεντικός occurs in an inscription from Mylasa in Asia Minor, which is probably to be dated to the second (possibly the first) century BC.⁵⁸ The word occurs twice in the combination εἰσφέρεισθαι ἀϑεντικὴν σπουδὴν, ‘to demonstrate an ἀϑεντικὴν zeal’, but it is not clear from the partially broken context what the precise force of the adjective is. The most recent editor of the inscription, Dr. Wolfgang Blümel, has suggested to me in personal correspondence that one possibility (among others) is that it means *herrscherlich*, that is, ‘masterful’.⁵⁹ Another possibility might be ‘princely’ or ‘aristocratic’. Standard lexica agree that its meaning here is likely to be related to ἀϑέντης ‘master’.⁶⁰

57. See E.A. Sophocles, *Greek Lexicon of the Roman and Byzantine Periods (From B.C. 146 to A.D. 1100)* (2 vols.; New York: Frederick Ungar, 1887), s.v. ἀϑεντικῶς 3, who refers for this meaning to Clement of Alexandria II, 352B (= *Strom.* 6.15.128.1 = *Kerygma Petri*, Frag. 9). Besides this place, I take ἀϑεντικῶς to have this sense also in Clement of Alexandria, *Strom.* 4.13.90.1 (reading the subsequent word as ἐρρέθη rather than εὐρέθη, following the 1592 edition of F. Sylburg).

58. The inscription (which has ἀϑεντικὴν in lines 2 and 5) was first published in *Bulletin de Correspondance Hellénique* 5 (1881), pp. 101-102, and more recently in W. Blümel, *Die Inschriften von Mylasa* (Inschriften Griechischer Städte aus Kleinasien, 34-35; 2 vols.; Bonn: Habelt, 1987-1988), I, pp. 56-57 (Inscription #134). No date for the inscription is indicated in either one of these publications, but it is assigned to the second century BC by LSJ, *Revised Supplement*, s.v. ἀϑεντικός. Blümel confirms this dating, although he would not rule out the first half of the first century (letter to A. Wolters dated 20 June 1993).

59. Letter to A. Wolters dated 20 June 1993.

60. The *Diccionario Griego-Español*, III (Madrid: Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Instituto ‘Antonio de Nebrija’, 1991) cites this inscription s.v. ἀϑεντικός, 2: ‘soberano, independiente, auténtico’, and it is listed after the meaning ‘authoritative’ in LSJ, *Revised Supplement*, s.v.

2. ἀϋθεντέω

ἀϋθεντέω is clearly a denominative verb, related to ἀϋθέντης as ἐπιστατέω is related to ἐπιστάτης, or δεσποτέω to δεσπότης.⁶¹ It thus originally means ‘to be an ἀϋθέντης’. Like the other derivatives of this noun, the denominative verb is dependent for its meaning on ἀϋθέντης ‘master’. ἀϋθεντέω occurs at most only eight times before AD 312, although it becomes quite common thereafter.⁶² Since a number of these have been overlooked in previous discussions, I shall briefly review them in chronological order.

(1) Philodemus, *Rhet.* 2.133 Sudhaus (= P.Herc. 220), dated to the mid-first century BC. If Sudhaus’s restoration of the fragmentary text is correct, then the verb ἀϋθεντέω occurs here for the first time. He restores the text as follows:

...πρὸς τοὺς ἐπιφαν[εσ-
τάτους ἐκάστοτε διαμά-
χονται καὶ "σὺν ἀϋθεντ[οῦ-
σιν ἄν[αξι]"...

It is possible, however, that the text should read ἀϋθέντ[αι]σιν instead of ἀϋθεντ[οῦ]σιν, in which case we have a form not of the verb

61. On the derivation of ἀϋθεντέω, see Moulton and Howard, *Grammar*, II, p. 278; and Chantraine, *Dictionnaire étymologique*, p. 138. All the verbs mentioned (compare also ἡγεμονέω from ἡγεμών, and τυραννέω from τύραννος) have the general meaning ‘rule’, and as such are construed with the genitive. On the genitive with verbs of ruling, see W.W. Goodwin and C.B. Gulick, *Greek Grammar* (Boston: Ginn & Company, 1930), §1109; and BDF, §177.

62. See Appendix C.

63. See S. Sudhaus (ed.), *Philodemi Volumina Rhetorica* (2 vols.; Leipzig: Teubner, 1896), II, p. 133, lines 12-15. The Herculaneum papyrus fragments in question (now known as P.Herc. 220) are no longer extant, although a hand-drawn copy was published in the nineteenth century. For an extensive bibliography on P.Herc. 220, see M. Gigante, *Catalogo dei Papiri Ercolanesi* (Naples: Bibliopolis, 1979), pp. 107-108. It is usually assigned to Book V of Philodemus’s *Rhetorica*, which is being prepared for publication by Matilde Ferrario of Milan; see her ‘Per una nuova edizione del quinto libro della “Retorica” di Filodemo’, in *Proceedings of the XVIIIth International Congress of Papyrology, Athens, 25–31 May 1986* (2 vols.; Athens: Greek Papyrological Society, 1988), I, pp. 167-84. However, P.Herc. 220 has been tentatively referred to Book VII in T. Dorandi, ‘Per una ricomposizione dello scritto di Filodemo sulla Retorica’, *ZPE* 82 (1990), pp. 59-87 (85).

ἀϑεντέω, but of the noun ἀϑέντης.⁶⁴ If we do read the verb, then its meaning here, according to standard lexicographical reference works, is ‘rule’ or ‘have authority over’.⁶⁵

(2) The papyrus *BGU* 1208.38, dated to 27 BC, where we read the following: κάμοῦ ἀϑεντηκότος πρὸς αὐτὸν περιποιῆσαι Καλατύτει τῷ ναυτικῷ ἐπὶ τῷ αὐτῷ φόρῳ ἐν τῇ ὥρῃ ἐπεχώρησεν.⁶⁶ The verb here occurs with the preposition πρὸς, and is taken to mean ‘to have full power or authority over’ by Liddell–Scott–Jones.⁶⁷ Other standard lexica agree.⁶⁸

(3) Aristonicus Alexandrinus, *On the Signs of the Iliad*, dated to the late first century BC. The comment on *Il.* 9.694 contains the sentence: τότε γὰρ εἴωθεν ἐπιφωνεῖσθαι [scil. the Homeric phrase μῦθον ἀγασσάμενοι], ὅταν ὁ ἀϑεντῶν τοῦ λόγου καταπληκτικὰ τινα προενέγκηται.⁶⁹ This passage, which has been overlooked in most previous discussions of ἀϑεντέω, uses the expression ὁ ἀϑεντῶν τοῦ λόγου in the sense of ‘speaker’, like the German *Wortführer* (cf. ὁ

64. This possibility is correctly noted by Kroeger and Kroeger, *I Suffer Not*, p. 96. Since the immediate context contains a quotation from Euripides, it is possible that Philodemus may here be citing a lost Attic work (note that Sudhaus prints the words σὺν ἀϑεντ[οῦ]σιν ἄν[αξι]ν between quotation marks), which contained the Old Attic dative plural ἀϑένταισιν (as in Aeschylus, *Ag.* 1573).

65. See C.J. Vooyo, *Lexicon Philodemeum*, I (Purmerend, The Netherlands: Muusses, 1934), s.v. (‘dominor’), and *Diccionario Griego–Español*, s.v. (‘ejercer la autoridad’). See also Knight, ‘ἀϑεντέω’, p. 145.

66. See *Aegyptische Urkunden aus den Museen zu Berlin IV* (Berlin: Weidmann, 1912), #1208, line 38 (p. 351).

67. LSJ, s.v.

68. This place is specifically mentioned in the entries on ἀϑεντέω in F. Preisigke, *Wörterbuch der griechischen Papyrusurkunden* (3 vols.; Berlin: Selbstverlag der Erben, 1925–1931), s.v. (‘Herr sein, fest auftreten’); and *Diccionario Griego–Español*, s.v. (‘ejercer la autoridad’). See also Knight, ‘ἀϑεντέω’, p. 145.

69. See L. Friedländer, *Aristonici Περὶ Σημείων Ἰλιάδος Reliquiae Emendatiores* (Göttingen: Dieterich, 1858), note on I.694 [= 9.694]. The passage is also found in H. Erbse (ed.), *Scholia Graeca in Homeri Iliadem (Scholia Vetera)* (2 vols.; Berlin: de Gruyter, 1969–1971), II, p. 543 (#694b). It is discussed only as a medieval scholion in Baldwin, ‘Appendix 2’, pp. 302–303. Baldwin thus fails to identify its source in the first-century Aristonicus; he also mistakenly interprets ὁ ἀϑεντῶν τοῦ λόγου to mean ‘the one originating the writing’.

ἡγούμενος τοῦ λόγου in Acts 14.12).⁷⁰ What is interesting about this use of ἀυθεντέω is that it corresponds semantically to ἀυθεντης ‘doer’, with λόγος describing the action initiated by the doer.

(4) 1 Tim. 2.12, dated to the first or second century AD: διδάσκειν δὲ γυναικὶ οὐκ ἐπιτρέπω οὐδὲ ἀυθεντεῖν ἀνδρός, ἀλλ’ εἶναι ἐν ἡσυχίᾳ. There is a widespread lexicographical consensus that ἀυθεντέω here means ‘have authority over’ and/or ‘domineer’.⁷¹

(5) Ptolemy, *Tetr.* 3.13.10, dated to the second century AD: ὁ μὲν οὖν τοῦ Κρόνου ἀστήρ μόνος τὴν οἰκοδεσποτείαν τῆς ψυχῆς λαβὼν καὶ ἀυθεντήσας τοῦ τε Ἑρμοῦ καὶ τοῦ τῆς Σελήνης...ποιεῖ φιλοσωμάτους.⁷² The verb ἀυθεντέω here occurs in an astrological context as a synonym for οἰκοδεσποτέω.⁷³ Existing translations render it as ‘dominate’ or ‘control’.⁷⁴ The precise technical meaning is given in the paraphrase of the *Tetrabiblos* by Proclus (fifth century), which here substitutes the verb κατακρατέω ‘predominate’.⁷⁵

70. In the context, Achilles (ὁ ἀυθεντῶν τοῦ λόγου) is contrasted with Odysseus (ὁ μηνύων τὰ ὑπ’ Ἀχιλλέως εἰρημένα). The former is the one who did the actual speaking, while the latter is the one who reported what was spoken.

71. See LSJ, s.v., 1 (‘to have full power or authority over’); and *Diccionario Griego–Español*, s.v. (‘tener autoridad sobre’). The following New Testament lexica give or include the pejorative sense ‘domineer’: Souter, *Pocket Lexicon*, s.v.; BAGD, s.v. [not in the German original, which has only ‘herrschen über’]; B.M. Newman, Jr, *A Concise Greek–English Dictionary of the New Testament* (New York: United Bible Societies, 1971), s.v.; J.P. Louw and E. Nida, *Greek–English Lexicon of the New Testament Based on Semantic Domains* (2 vols.; New York: United Bible Societies, 2nd edn, 1989), I, p. 474 (sub 37.21).

72. Ptolemy, *Apotelesmatika* (*Tetr.*) 3.14.10 (p. 158 in the Boll–Boer edition).

73. See the editorial note on this place by F.E. Robbins in Ptolemy, *Tetrabiblos* (LCL; Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1940), p. 339. On the equivalence of οἰκοδεσποτέω and ἀυθεντέω, compare also the collocation τὸ οἰκοδεσποτικόν...καὶ ἀυθεντικόν at 4.10.9 (p. 207). Cf. Knight, ‘ἀυθεντέω’, pp. 145–46.

74. See the translations by F.E. Robbins in Ptolemy, *Tetrabiblos*, p. 339 (‘dominate’), and S. Feraboli in *Claudio Tolomeo: Le previsioni astrologiche* (*Tetrabiblos*) (n.p. [Milan]: Arnoldo Mondadori, 1985), p. 267 (‘controlla’).

75. *Procli Paraphrasis in quatuor Ptolemaei libros De siderum effectationibus* (Basileae, apud Ioannem Oporinum, 1554), p. 197. For the technical astrological sense ‘predominate’ of κατακρατέω in the *Paraphrasis* of Proclus, see LSJ, s.v. κατακρατέω I.2. See the general discussion of Proclus’s *Paraphrasis* below.

(6) Moeris Atticista, *Lexicon Atticum*, dated to the second century AD.⁷⁶ The entry on ἀυτοδίκτην, according to the manuscript tradition, identifies this noun as the proper Attic equivalent of Hellenistic ἀυθέντην. However, it is agreed by most scholars that these two nouns are in fact a corruption (no doubt due to an itacistic pronunciation) of an original text which had the infinitives ἀυτοδικεῖν and ἀυθεντεῖν—a reading which is confirmed by later versions of the entry in Hesychius and Thomas Magister.⁷⁷ Consequently, this passage (which is sometimes neglected in discussions of ἀυθεντέω) tells us that ἀυθεντέω was frowned upon by the Atticists (no doubt because it was a recent word based on colloquial usage), and was a synonym of ἀυτοδικεῖν ‘to have independent jurisdiction’, that is, to be master in one’s own sphere.

(7) The papyrus, P.Tebt. 276.28, an astrological fragment dated to the late second or third century. In the restoration proposed by Grenfell and Hunt, the verb occurs in a fragmentary sentence containing the words περ[ί]κτησιν ἔξει καὶ [α]υθεντή[σει?...] .⁷⁸ The restored reading [α]υθεντή[σει] is uncertain, but seems probable in the light of the context (‘he will make acquisitions and rule’) and the parallel with other astrological texts, notably Ptolemy’s *Tetrabiblos*. Previous discussions of the verb have missed this occurrence, since it is listed in neither the *Thesaurus Linguae Graecae* nor the *Duke Data Bank of Documentary Papyri*.⁷⁹

76. J. Piersonus (ed.), *Moeridis Atticistae Lexicon Atticum* (Leipzig: Lauffer, 1830), p. 54. Cf. Knight, ‘ἀυθεντέω’, p. 148.

77. See K. Latte (ed.), *Hesychii Alexandri Lexicon* (Copenhagen: Munksgaard, 1953), p. 284 (#8409), and F. Ritschelius (ed.), *Thomae Magistri sive Theoduli Monachi Ecloga Vocum Atticarum* (Halle: Libraria Orphanotropei, 1832; repr. Hildesheim: Olms, 1970), p. 18. Wilshire, ‘The TLG Computer’, pp. 121-22 and 125, retains the reading ἀυτοδίκτην in Moeris, but mistakenly takes it to mean ‘self-judged’.

78. See A.S. Hunt and E.J. Goodspeed, *The Tebtunis Papyri: Part II* (London: Henry Frowde, 1907), p. 31.

79. Presumably, P.Tebt. 276 was insufficiently ‘literary’ to be included in the former, and insufficiently ‘documentary’ to be included in the latter. It provides an instructive example of how some ancient Greek texts still ‘fall between the cracks’ of these two comprehensive data bases.

(8) Origen, *Commentary on 1 Corinthians*, dated to the third century.⁸⁰ This is another passage which has often been overlooked, although it casts an instructive light on the interpretation of 1 Tim. 2.12. Origen here cites the words ἀϋθεντεῖν ἀνδρός from that text, and goes on to paraphrase the apostolic prohibition as μὴ τὴν γυναικα ἡγεμόνα γίνεσθαι τῷ λόγῳ τοῦ ἀνδρός, ‘that the woman is not to become leader of the man in [the ministry of] the word’.⁸¹

It is clear that all these examples illustrate the verb ἀϋθεντέω in the sense ‘to be an ἀϋθέντης’, and are semantically dependent on the meaning ‘master’ (or its variant ‘doer’). However, there is no evidence in any of these cases (with the possible exception of the disputed verse 1 Tim. 2.12) that the verb is to be understood in a negative sense.⁸²

A search of the *Thesaurus Linguae Graecae* turns up about a hundred further occurrences of ἀϋθεντέω in Greek literature after AD 312.⁸³ With the single exception of a medieval scholion which I will discuss below, all of them derive their meaning from ἀϋθέντης ‘master’, and have to do with the exercise of authority or sovereignty, almost always in a non-pejorative sense.⁸⁴ In seven cases the meaning corresponds to ἀϋθέντης ‘doer’, and refers to the initiation of an action.⁸⁵

80. The relevant passage was published in C. Jenkins, ‘Origen on 1 Corinthians. IV’, *JTS* 10 (1909), pp. 29-51. The reference to 1 Tim. 2.12 is found on p. 42.

81. The passage is discussed by Wilshire, ‘The TLG Computer’, p. 126, but Origen’s paraphrase is not given.

82. Nor is there any evidence that ἀϋθεντέω refers to ‘the assumption or implementation of authority as an action’ as distinct from ‘having authority as status or office’, *pace* Perriman, ‘What Eve Did’, pp. 136-37.

83. According to Baldwin, ‘A Difficult Word’, p. 72 n. 15, the verb ἀϋθεντέω is found about 110 times in the Greek corpus which can presently be electronically searched. He discusses 82 of these in his ‘Appendix 2’, excluding only citations of 1 Tim. 2.12 and the various recensions of the medieval *Alexander Romance* (p. 72 n. 17).

84. See Baldwin, ‘Appendix 2’. As Baldwin points out, only one of the 82 passages which he discusses has a clearly pejorative sense (‘A Difficult Word’, p. 75). It occurs around AD 400 in John Chrysostom, *In Epistulam ad Colossenses. Hom.* 10.396 (*PG* LXII, col. 366; cf. Baldwin, ‘Appendix 2’, p. 286). This conclusion with respect to the generally non-pejorative meaning of ἀϋθεντέω confirms that of Knight, ‘ἀϋθεντέω’, pp. 150, 152, 154.

85. See Eusebius, *Const.* 2.48.1.8; Athanasius, *Ep. Rufin.* 78.8; Basil, *Ep.* 51.1; Didymus the Blind, *Comm. Job* 285.4; John Chrysostom, *In Acta Apostolorum*

3. ἀθεντία

The abstract noun ἀθεντία (also spelled ἀθεντεία) almost always refers to authority or sovereignty, and is thus also clearly based on ἀθέντης ‘master’. (The peculiar usage of Dio Cassius will be dealt with separately below.) It is first attested in *3 Macc.* 2.29 (probably first century BC), where it refers to the (limited) legal autonomy of the Jews in Egypt,⁸⁶ and it occurs frequently thereafter. I have collected 29 examples before AD 312, and many others can be found after that date.⁸⁷ It is noteworthy that the word ἀθεντία played a prominent role in Gnosticism; for example, it was the name of the supreme deity in the systems of the early Gnostics Cerinthus and Saturninus, and in the gnostic writing *Poimandres* (first and second centuries AD).⁸⁸ As early as the mid-second century ἀθεντεία was also used in a bilingual Roman inscription as the Greek equivalent of Latin *auctoritas*.⁸⁹ It is used in patristic literature to describe the sovereignty of God or Christ, and in papyri and inscriptions to refer to the authority of Roman officials.⁹⁰ To the best of my knowledge it is never used in a pejorative sense before AD 312, and very rarely thereafter.⁹¹

60.37.13; *Second Council of Nicaea 721D bis*. It is largely on the basis of this usage that the Kroegers make their extraordinary claim that ἀθεντέω in 1 Tim. 2.12 means ‘represent oneself as originator of’. See Kroeger and Kroeger, *I Suffer Not*, pp. 101-103; and my review in *Calvin Theological Journal* 28 (1993), pp. 208-13, esp. p. 210.

86. It is not necessary to postulate an unusual sense for ἀθεντία here, *pace* LSJ, s.v., 2 (‘restriction’) or the NRSV (‘status’), among others. The reference is to the limited ‘legal autonomy’ or ‘independent jurisdiction’ of the Jews in Alexandria; see A. Kasher, *The Jews in Hellenistic and Roman Egypt: The Struggle for Equal Rights* (Texte und Studien zum Antiken Judentum, 7; Tübingen: Mohr, 1985), p. 32 and *passim*. Compare ἀθεντεῖν in the sense ἀυτοδικεῖν, ‘to have independent jurisdiction’, which was noted above in Moeris, Hesychius, and Thomas Magister.

87. See Appendix D.

88. It is striking that eight of the 29 occurrences listed in Appendix D refer to gnostic sources.

89. See *SEG* 18.740(b).7. Cf. H.J. Jones, *Greek Terms for Roman Institutions: A Lexicon and Analysis* (American Studies in Papyrology, 13; Toronto: Hakkert, 1974), p. 28.

90. See Clement of Alexandria, *Paed.* 2.3.36.1 and *Strom.* 4.1.2.2; *PSI* 870.18; P.Diog. 17.31; P.Oxy. 3048.1, etc., as well as the inscription of the preceding note.

91. The examples of a pejorative sense given in *LPGL*, s.v., D all postdate AD 312, and many are debatable.

4. *Other Derivatives*

There are a few other derivatives of ἀϑέντης, but they are all either late, rare, or dubious. In the period before AD 312, we find only the two nouns ἀϑέντησις and ἀϑέντρια. The first is a *hapax legomenon* meaning ‘exercise of authority’,⁹² and the latter is the feminine equivalent of ἀϑέντης ‘master’, and thus means ‘mistress’.⁹³ The lexica also list an adverb ἀϑοεντεί and a verb ἀϑεντίζω, but both of these are probably ghost-words, arising in the one case from a scribal corruption of ἀϑοεντία (a variant of ἀϑεντία),⁹⁴ and in the other from the occasional itacistic spelling of aorist or future forms of ἀϑεντέω.⁹⁵ In the Greek of late antiquity we also find ἀϑέντημα, listed in a glossary as meaning *auctoramentum*;⁹⁶ ἀϑεντεύω, a later synonym of ἀϑεντέω;⁹⁷ and the compound noun ἀϑεντόπωλος, meaning ‘son (slave) of the master’.⁹⁸ Clearly, all of these minor derivatives are also semantically based on ἀϑέντης ‘master’. The same pattern persists in medieval Greek.⁹⁹

92. Vettius Valens, *Anthologiae* 1.1. Dihle, ‘ἀϑέντης’, p. 80, translates the term as ‘die Berufsstellung des selbständigen Unternehmers’, and J.-F. Bara, *Anthologies*, I (Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1989), p. 32, as ‘le pouvoir absolu’, but the context seems to require a *nomen actionis*. The word is not listed in LSJ, its *Revised Supplement*, or the *Diccionario Griego–Español*.

93. *Tituli Asiae Minoris V: Tituli Lydiae, Fasc. I* (Vienna: Academia Scientiarum Austriaca, 1981), #795, lines 17-18 (third century). The rare word is found again in the fifth century in Leo Magnus, *Epist.* 44.2 (*PL* LIV, col. 830C). Cf. Chantraine, *Dictionnaire étymologique*, p. 138, and *LPGL*, s.v.

94. Dio Cassius, *Roman History*, 58.24.4. The form ἀϑοεντία is in fact a variant reading here. Not only does this reading match Dio’s usage elsewhere, but the emergence of the otherwise unattested form ἀϑοεντεί can be plausibly explained as a scribal corruption of it.

95. For example, in the Greek translation of Jerome’s *Vir. ill.* 8 (*PL* XXIII, col. 622B), the form ἀϑεντίσας should probably be read ἀϑεντήσας (so *LPGL*, s.v. ἀϑεντέω, 1a). See also Zucker, ‘ἀϑέντης’, p. 19, on the form ἀϑεντίσεις in *BGU* 1.103.

96. LSJ, s.v.

97. C. Du Cange, *Glossarium ad Scriptores Mediae et Infimae Graecitatis* (2 books in 1 vol.; Lyon: Arisson, J. Posuel, C. Rigand, 1688; repr. Graz: Akademische Druck- und Verlagsanstalt, 1958), I, p. 153, and LSJ, *Revised Supplement*, s.v.

98. LSJ, *Revised Supplement*, s.v.

99. See E. Trapp, *Lexikon der Byzantinischen Gräzität, besonders des 9.–12. Jahrhunderts*. II. *Faszikel* (ἀργυροθώραξ–δυσάχενος) (Vienna: Verlag der

The result of our survey of the derivatives of αὐθέντης is that they are indeed all dependent for their meaning on αὐθέντης ‘master’. We thus find further confirmation of the earlier conclusion that it was only in the meaning ‘master’ that αὐθέντης was part of the living language after the classical period.

Ancient Translations and Loanwords

This conclusion finds further support in the evidence of ancient translations and loanwords based on the αὐθέντης family. Wherever ancient translations are available, they indicate that αὐθέντης and its derivatives were overwhelmingly understood to refer to mastery or authority, and wherever a member of this word-family was taken over as a loanword into another language, it carried with it a meaning related to αὐθέντης ‘master’.

Needless to say, the evidence of ancient translations needs to be handled with discretion. On the one hand, the translators’ grasp of the Greek they were translating was not infrequently inadequate, and they made mistakes. On the other hand, in some cases their command of Greek was at least as good as that of the authors they were translating, and they had the advantage of being in touch with the living Greek of their own day. More often than not, where the original Greek is obscure to the modern reader, an ancient translation can help to clarify its meaning.

We have already seen how the ancient versions of Wis. 12.6 illustrate the confusion of translators when faced with αὐθέντης in the unusual Attic sense of ‘kin-murderer’. But there is no such confusion when words of the αὐθέντης group are used to convey the current meaning of mastery or authority. For example, the occurrence of αὐθέντης ‘master’ in the *Shepherd of Hermas* is correctly translated *dominus* in both of the surviving ancient Latin translations of this work.¹⁰⁰

The *derivatives* of αὐθέντης were rendered by ancient translators in similar ways. The Syriac version of *3 Macc.* 2.29 renders αὐθεντία as

Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1996), which lists 10 additional members of the αὐθέντης family, all semantically related to αὐθέντης ‘master’.

100. See A.R.M. Dressel (ed.), *Patrum Apostolicorum Opera* (Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1857), pp. 532 and 533.

šûltān ‘power, authority, right’.¹⁰¹ An early Latin version of Irenaeus, who reports the use of αὐθεντία as a gnostic divine name, regularly translates this term as *principalitas*, a word derived via *principalis* from the Latin *princeps*.¹⁰² This is a happy choice, because Latin *principalitas*, like Greek αὐθεντία, is thus an abstract noun formed on the basis of a common noun designating someone in authority.

The same pattern is followed in ancient versions of αὐθεντέω in 1 Tim. 2.12. The Old Latin of this verse has a variety of renderings (dated to the third century and later), all of which are related to a Latin word designating someone in authority. The renderings in question are *praepositam esse* (related to *praepositus*), *dominari* and *dominare* (related to *dominus*), and *principari* (related to *princeps*).¹⁰³ Of these, the Vulgate retains the rendering *dominari*. The Sahidic Coptic version uses a verb meaning ‘to be lord’,¹⁰⁴ and the Bohairic another Coptic verb meaning ‘to be head’.¹⁰⁵ The Gothic version of Ulfilas has a verb derived from the regular Gothic word for ‘lord’.¹⁰⁶ Only the Peshitta seems to break this pattern, since the printed editions of 1 Tim. 1.12 all have the Aphel of the verb *mrah*, meaning ‘to venture’ or ‘be rash’, which does not seem to fit the Greek very well.¹⁰⁷ However, if we read

101. See A.M. Ceriani, *Translatio Syra Pescitto Veteris Testamenti ex Codice Ambrosiano* (Milan: Pogliani, 1883), p. 639.

102. See Irénée de Lyon, *Contre les Hérésies*, I.2 (SC, 264; ed. A. Rousseau and L. Doutreleau; Paris: Editions du Cerf, 1979), p. 344 (1.26.1.3) and p. 346 (1.26.1.10).

103. See H.J. Frede, *Vetus Latina: Die Reste der altlateinischen Bibel 25: Epistulae ad Thessalonicenses, Timotheum, Titum, Philemonem, Hebraeos* (Freiburg: Herder, 1975–1982), p. 474.

104. G. Horner, *The Coptic Version of the New Testament in the Southern Dialect otherwise called Sahidic and Thebaic* (7 vols.; Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1911–1924; repr. Osnabrück: Zeller, 1969), V, p. 450 (*erjoeis*, from *joeis* ‘lord’).

105. See the apparatus in Horner, *Coptic Version*, V, p. 450 (*ethreserjōj*, from *jōj* ‘head’).

106. W. Streitberg, *Die Gotische Bibel* (Heidelberg: Winter, 1971), p. 417 (*fraujinom*, from *frauja* ‘lord’).

107. G.H. Gwilliam, J. Pinkerton and R. Kilgour, *The New Testament in Syriac* (London: British and Foreign Bible Society, 1920), *ad loc.*, which has *lmamrāhû* (the Aphel infinitive of *mrah*). On the meaning of the Aphel of *mrah*, see Payne Smith, *Thesaurus Syriacus*, s.v. (col. 2222): ‘ausus est, audacter se gessit, violentia usus est’, and J.P. Margoliouth, *A Compendious Syriac Dictionary* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1903), s.v. (p. 300): ‘to venture, dare, be rash, hasty, headstrong,

the third radical of the printed verbal form (*lmamrāhu*) not as a *heth*, but as medial *nun* (with which *heth* is easily confused), then the form in question (*lmamrānu*) becomes a denominative verb based on *mārā*, the standard Syriac word for ‘lord’ or ‘master’.¹⁰⁸ Consequently, a good case can be made for the thesis that all these ancient versions (with the possible exception of the Peshitta) reflect an accurate understanding of ἀυθεντέω in 1 Tim. 2.12 as a denominative verb based on ἀυθέντης ‘master’. Furthermore, it is to be noted that all these versions (with the same possible exception) understand the verb in a non-pejorative sense.

The virtually exclusive association of ἀυθέντης and its cognates with the notion of authority in ordinary post-classical Greek is further confirmed by the evidence of loanwords drawn from this word-group. ἀυθέντης ‘master’ was the source of loanwords in Hebrew,¹⁰⁹ Coptic,¹¹⁰ Syriac,¹¹¹ Latin¹¹² and Turkish,¹¹³ and from Turkish spread to many other languages.¹¹⁴ The derivative ἀυθεντικός, in the meaning ‘authoritative’ or ‘original’, was taken over into Syriac¹¹⁵ and Latin,¹¹⁶ and from Latin found its way into many other languages, including

presumptuous’. In the Peshitta of the New Testament *mrah* usually translates τολμάω.

108. See Margoliouth, *Syriac Dictionary*, s.v. *maran* (p. 302), which is identified as ‘denom. Verb from *mārā*.’ Cf. Payne Smith, *Thesaurus Syriacus*, col. 2209.

109. See G. Dalman, *Aramäisch–Neuhebräisches Wörterbuch zu Targum, Talmud und Midrasch* (Göttingen: Pfeiffer, 1938; repr. Hildesheim: Olms, 1967), s.v. ‘*awtentēs*: (ἀυθέντης) unabhängig, mächtig’.

110. So often in the *Pistis Sophia*; see C. Schmidt (ed.), *Koptisch–Gnostische Schriften I* (Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 4th edn, 1981), 133.25, 151.23, 207.23, etc. See also F. Siegert, *Nag-Hammadi-Register* (WUNT, 26; Tübingen: Mohr, 1982), p. 223. The word is also used in the sense ‘legitimate’ (said of children) in the Coptic papyrus P.Lond. 1709.26.

111. Payne Smith, *Thesaurus Syriacus*, s.v. ‘*wntys* (col. 102): (ἀυθέντης) de Deo sui potente, suo jure agente’.

112. Lewis and Short, *Latin Dictionary*, s.v. *authentā*: ‘= ἀυθέντης, a chief prince, head’. Cf. Zucker, ‘ἀυθέντης’, p. 24.

113. See Psichari, ‘Efendi’, pp. 387-96.

114. See Psichari, ‘Efendi’, pp. 396-400.

115. See Payne Smith, *Thesaurus Syriacus*, s.vv. ‘*awtentiqôn* and ‘*awtentiqî* (col. 103); and J.P. Margoliouth, *Supplement to the Thesaurus Syriacus* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1927; repr. Hildesheim: Olms, 1981), p. 11.

116. Lewis and Short, *Latin Dictionary*, s.v. *authenticus*. Cf. Zucker, ‘ἀυθέντης’, p. 25.

English.¹¹⁷ Likewise, ἀϑεντία meaning ‘authority’ was the source of loanwords in Hebrew,¹¹⁸ Coptic¹¹⁹ and Syriac.¹²⁰ No doubt there are other derivatives and other languages which I have overlooked. To the best of my knowledge all examples of loanwords drawn from the ἀϑέντης family depend for their meaning on ἀϑέντης ‘master’, and none has a pejorative sense. This is further evidence that, after the classical period, ἀϑέντης ‘murderer’ had become archaic or obsolete, while ἀϑέντης ‘master’ had become a productive part of the living language, giving rise not only to several new word-formations within Greek itself, but also to many loanwords outside of Greek.

The Evidence of the Paraphrasis of Proclus

For additional evidence of the overall pattern which we have discerned, I turn finally to Proclus’s *Paraphrasis* of Ptolemy’s *Tetrabiblos*.

As we have seen, the gradual emergence of the semantic derivatives of ἀϑέντης ‘master’ in literary (that is, non-documentary) contexts is especially clearly attested in the *Tetrabiblos* of Ptolemy. In this famous astrological handbook, written in the second half of the second century AD, we find one of the earliest and clearest examples of the verb ἀϑεντέω, as well as five instances of the adjective ἀϑεντικός meaning ‘authoritative’. In each case, the meaning is securely established by the context. Apparently Ptolemy had no qualms about using these colloquial words in a serious scholarly treatise.

Further light on both the meaning and the non-literary status of these two words in Ptolemy’s *Tetrabiblos* is shed by the paraphrase of this work attributed to the fifth-century philosopher Proclus.¹²¹ His

117. English ‘authentic’ is flanked by French *authentique*, German *authentisch*, Dutch *autentiek*, etc.

118. Dalman, *Aramäisch–Neuhebräisches Wörterbuch*, s.v. ‘awtentÿyā’: ‘Selbständigkeit, Würde’.

119. See Folkert, *Nag-Hammadi-Register*, p. 223.

120. Payne Smith, *Thesaurus Syriacus*, s.v. ‘awtontiā’ (col. 102): ‘ἀϑεντία, sui ipsius potentia’.

121. The *editio princeps* of this work was published by Melanchthon in 1554 (see n. 75 above). Two subsequent editions, with a Latin translation by Leo Allatius, were published in the seventeenth century (Leiden, 1635 and 1654). I have consulted the *editio princeps* available at the University of Michigan library (a copy formerly belonging to F.E. Robbins). Robbins expresses some doubt about the attribution to Proclus; see his edition of the *Tetrabiblos* (n. 73 above), p. xvi. An English

Paraphrasis, though often using a different Greek phraseology, follows the *Tetrabiblos* very closely, and is acknowledged by students of the latter to be quite accurate.¹²² It is therefore significant that the paraphrase, when it recasts the six passages in the *Tetrabiblos* which contain members of the αὐθέντης family, with one exception substitutes a more literary synonym. This is evident from the following two columns, the first representing the wording of the *Tetrabiblos*, and the second that of the *Paraphrasis*.¹²³

3.13.10 αὐθεντήσας	p. 197 κατακρατῶν ‘predominating’
4.3.6 αὐθεντικώτερον	p. 216 ἀστικώτερα ‘with greater political influence’ ¹²⁴
4.4.11 αὐθεντικῶς	p. 222 ἐξουσιαστικῶς ‘authoritative’
4.7.5 αὐθεντικώτερον	p. 235 δυνατώτερον ‘more powerful’
4.7.10 αὐθεντικοῖς	p. 237 κυρίους ‘sovereign’
4.10.9 αὐθεντικόν	p. 248 αὐθεντικόν ‘authoritative’

In all cases but the last, Proclus replaces words derived from αὐθέντης ‘master’ with a synonym. Since the former were not any less clear than their substitutes, it is probable that Proclus wished to avoid them (as he does in his other writings) simply because they were considered to belong to a sub-literary register of the language. Furthermore, the synonyms which he chooses make unmistakably clear that he understood that the words which they replaced had to do with mastery and authority.

translation of the *Paraphrasis* is available in J.M. Ashmand, *Ptolemy’s Tetrabiblos or Quadripartite* (Chicago: Aries Press, 1936).

122. See A. Bouché-Leclercq, *L’astrologie grecque* (Paris: Ernest Leroux, 1899), XII; and Robbins’s edition of Ptolemy, *Tetrabiblos*, pp. xvi-xvii.

123. The numbers in the second column represent the page numbers of the *editio princeps* of the *Paraphrasis* (see n. 75).

124. This translation is conjectural. It is clear from the context in the *Tetrabiblos* that Ptolemy is referring to a position of higher authority or influence (τὸ αὐθεντικώτερον is contrasted with τὸ ὑποτεταγμένον). Perhaps the Greek adjective ἀστικός, literally ‘of the city’, is to be understood here as ‘close to the center of power in Rome’. The English translation by Ashmand renders it as ‘important’.

Exceptions to the Pattern

The broad picture which we have sketched of the semantic development of ἀθέντης and its derivatives in ancient Greek accounts for almost all the available linguistic data. However, there are two clearly defined phenomena which do not fit this picture, and need to be explained separately. These are the distinctive usage of Dio Cassius, and the single example of ἀθεντέω meaning ‘murder’ in a medieval scholion on Aeschylus. Unfortunately, these two exceptions have sometimes been given disproportionate weight in recent discussions of the semantics of ἀθέντης and its cognates.¹²⁵

Dio Cassius, the Roman historian of the third century AD, has his own way of using words from the ἀθέντης family. Not only does he prefer the unelided forms (αὐτοέντης and αὐτοεντία instead of ἀθέντης and ἀθεντία—a usage found elsewhere only in Sophocles), but he uses them in senses which are found elsewhere only in the Atticistic lexica. Thus he twice uses αὐτοέντης (ἀθέντης) to mean ‘suicide’,¹²⁶ and three times uses αὐτοεντία in the dative singular to mean ‘by one’s own hand’.¹²⁷ (The ghost-word αὐτοεντεί is probably a corruption of one of these.)¹²⁸ Since Dio was an Atticistic writer, we can safely conclude that he was influenced by the Atticistic handbooks to use αὐτοέντης and αὐτοεντία in this unusual way. As we saw above, these handbooks themselves were influenced by the ambiguity of the Greek word αὐτοχειρία and its cognates, which could refer, not only to kin-murder, but also to suicide, as well as other actions ‘by one’s own hand’.¹²⁹ The peculiar usage of Dio Cassius is thus based on an apparent

125. For example, much is made of ἀθεντεῖν in the sense ‘murder’ in Kroeger and Kroeger, *I Suffer Not*, pp. 86, 95-98, 185-88.

126. Dio Cassius, *Roman History*, 37.13.4 and 58.15.4, in both cases with γίνεσθαι to mean ‘to commit suicide’. Oddly enough, in *Roman History, Frag.* 9.38 he expresses the same idea with the reflexive pronoun, αὐτοέντης...ἐαυτοῦ γίνεσθαι, thus using the noun in its proper Attic sense of ‘murderer’. Perhaps the reflexive pronoun was added by a scribe who realized that Dio’s usage was un-Attic. We also find the correct Attic use of ἀθέντης in the remains of Book 20 of the *Roman History*, but this is extant only in a medieval paraphrase (Zonaras 9.25.5). On Dio’s peculiar usage, see also Zucker, ‘ἀθέντης’, p. 16.

127. Dio Cassius, *Roman History*, 58.24.4; *Frag.* 13.2; *Frag.* 102.12.

128. See n. 94 above.

129. See LSJ, s.vv. αὐτοχείρ, αὐτοχειρί, αὐτοχειρία, αὐτοχειρίζω.

misunderstanding of ἀθύντης ‘murderer’, and once more illustrates the fact that the classical meaning of this word was no longer understood in Hellenistic times.

The second exception is found in a scholion on the word στάζοντα, ‘dripping [with blood]’, in Aeschylus, *Eum.* 42. The scholion reads as follows: ‘by this [the author] vividly portrays the one who has just committed a murder (τὸν νεωστὶ ἠὲ θεντηκότα)’.¹³⁰ The verb ἀθύντω is here unmistakably used in the sense ‘to murder’, and clearly depends for its meaning on ἀθύντης ‘murderer’. How are we to account for this unprecedented meaning of the verb?

Since the scholion is found in a tenth-century scholarly manuscript, and there is no other example of this meaning of ἀθύντω, it is best to take this unusual usage to be an Atticistic hypercorrection on the part of a Byzantine scholar.¹³¹ Seeking to write his scholia on Aeschylus in pure Attic Greek, and having learned that ἀθύντης in Attic meant ‘murderer’, he assumed that the corresponding verb in Attic must have meant ‘murder’, unaware that the verb is in fact not attested in Attic, and appears never to have carried this meaning in all of extant Greek literature. As a result, he used ἀθύντω in an otherwise unattested sense.

This hypothesis of an Atticistic hypercorrection is confirmed by a later version of the Aeschylus scholion, which adds the following explanation to the unusual ἠὲ θεντηκότα: ‘as it were the one who committed a homicide (τὸν φονεύσαντα). For the murderer is called an ἀθύντης.’¹³² Apparently this explanatory note was necessary to clarify the unusual use of ἀθύντω in the original scholion. Even Byzantine scholars who read Aeschylus might have been puzzled by the use of ἀθύντω to mean ‘murder’.

The exceptions which we have noted can thus all be explained as the result of Atticism, the artificial and often unsuccessful attempt on the part of many Hellenistic and Byzantine authors to write in a classical Attic Greek which was far removed from the current speech of their

130. See O.L. Smith, *Scholia Graeca in Aeschylum quae Extant Omnia*, I (Leipzig: Teubner, 1976), p. 45.

131. There is a similar explanation in Zucker, ‘ἀθύντης’, p. 16: ‘Sehr merkwürdig ist, dass noch in späterer Zeit das Verbum ἀθύντειν in attizistischem Sinn “Mörder sein” heissen kann gegen die lebendige Sprache’.

132. See Smith, *Scholia Graeca*, pp. 45, 208. The expanded scholion is first found in the *Triclinii Scholia* (14th century) on Aeschylus, *Eum.* 40.

own day. Needless to say, it is a great mistake to take the definitions and usages of the Atticists as a reliable guide to the meaning of ἀθέντης and its derivatives in Hellenistic Greek.

Conclusions

The overall conclusion must be that there was a great semantic divide in ancient Greek between ἀθέντης ‘murderer’ and all other members of the ἀθέντης family (see Figure 1). On the one side of the divide is an Attic usage which was no longer alive in Hellenistic Greek, and which even the Atticists had largely ceased to understand. On the other hand we have ἀθέντης ‘master’ and its derivatives, which all convey the basic notion of mastery or authority. Whether or not this semantic divide is the result of separate etymological roots (a view that has often been proposed),¹³³ there can be no doubt that the semantic domains of murder and authority were not only kept separate, but also belonged to different registers of the language.¹³⁴

With respect to the meaning of ἀθεντέω in 1 Tim. 2.12, my investigation leads to two further conclusions. First, the verb ἀθεντέω should not be interpreted in the light of ἀθέντης ‘murderer’, or the muddled definitions of it given in the Atticistic lexica. Instead, it should be understood, like all the other Hellenistic derivatives of ἀθέντης, in the light of the meaning which that word had in the living Greek of the day, namely ‘master’.

Secondly, there seems to be no basis for the claim that ἀθεντέω in 1 Tim. 2.12 has a pejorative connotation, as in ‘usurp authority’ or ‘domineer’. Although it is possible to identify isolated cases of a pejorative use for both ἀθεντέω and ἀθεντία, these are not found

133. See Kretschmer, ‘ἀθέντης’, pp. 291-93, as well as Zucker, ‘ἀθέντης’, p. 14, who came to the same conclusion independently. Actually, the scholarly tradition of identifying different etymological roots for ἀθέντης goes back to Byzantine times; see T. Gaisford (ed.), *Etymologicum Magnum* (Oxford: Typographeo academico, 1848; repr. Amsterdam: Hakkert, 1962), s.v. (p. 168). In the above I have myself deliberately refrained from invoking etymological evidence as part of my semantic argument, for fear of falling into the etymological fallacy.

134. The proposal by Wilshire, ‘I Timothy 2:12 Revisited’, p. 48, to conflate the meanings of ἀθέντης ‘murderer’, and ἀθέντης ‘doer’, and thus to arrive at the sense ‘instigate violence’ for the verb ἀθεντεῖν in 1 Tim. 2.12, fails to observe (among other things) this difference in register.

before the fourth century AD.¹³⁵ Overwhelmingly, the authority to which ἀθύρτης ‘master’ and all its derivatives refer is a positive or neutral concept.¹³⁶

Figure 1: Chronological Chart (500 BC–AD 312)

	APPENDIX A1 ἀθύρτης <i>murderer</i>	APDX A2 ἀθύρτης <i>master</i>	APDX A3 ἀθύρτης <i>doer</i>				
BC 500	•1-2 Aesch. •3*-4 Soph. ἀυτοέρτης •5-13 Eur. •14 Hdt. •15-20 Ant. •21 Thuc. •22-23 Lys. •24 Isocr.	•1 Eur.					
400							
300							
200	•25 Zenon •26-27 Apol. Rhod.						
100			•1 Polyb.	APDX B ἀθύρτικός •1-2 Mylasa 134			
BC 0	•28 Wis. 12.6 •29 Philo			•3-4 Cicero	APDX C ἀθύρτέω •1 Phldm* •2 BGU •3 Ariston.	APDX D ἀθύρτία •1 3 Macc. 3.29	
AD			•2-3 Diod. Sic.		•4 1 Tim. 2.12		
100	•30-31 Josephus	•2-4		•5-7 •8 •9-10 •11-21 •22-30 •31-35		•2 P.Bab. •3 SEG 18 •4-7	APDX E-F
200	•32-36 Appian •37 Phryn. •38-39 Clement •40 Dio Cassius	•5-16 •17-20 •21-26 •27-28 •29		•36-40 •41-43 •44-50 •51-56	•5 Ptolemy •6 Moeris* •7 P.Tebt.* •8 Origen	•8-19 •20-22 •23-24 •25	E ἀθύρ- τησις F ἀθύρ- τρια
300 AD312		•30		•57-58		•26-29	

*Conjectural emendation or restoration.

135. See nn. 84 and 91 above.

136. The non-pejorative meaning of ἀθύρτεῖν in 1 Tim. 2.12 is also supported by syntactical considerations. See A.J. Köstenberger, ‘A Complex Sentence Structure in 1 Tim 2:12’, in Köstenberger, Schreiner and Baldwin (eds.), *Women in the Church*, pp. 81-103.

Appendix A1: Occurrences of αὐθέντης ‘murderer’

1. Aeschylus, *Ag.* 1573 (458 BC)
2. Aeschylus, *Eum.* 212 (458 BC)
3. Sophocles, *El.* 272. NB: αὐτοέντην is a widely accepted emendation of αὐτοφόντην
4. Sophocles, *Oed. tyr.* 107 (ca. 430 BC)
5. Euripides, *Andr.* 172 (ca. 431 BC)
6. Euripides, *Andr.* 614
7. Euripides, *Heracl.* 839
8. Euripides, *Heracl.* 1359
9. Euripides, *Tro.* 660
10. Euripides, *Phoen.* 873 (ca. 410 BC)
11. Euripides, *Iph. aul.* 1190 (405 BC)
12. Euripides, *Rhes.* 873
13. Euripides, *Frag.* 1030 (Nauck)
14. Herodotus, *Hist.* 1.117.12
15. Antiphon, *Caedes Her.* 11.6
16. Ps.-Antiphon, *II Tetr.* 3.4
17. Ps.-Antiphon, *II Tetr.* 3.11.4
18. Ps.-Antiphon, *II Tetr.* 4.4.3
19. Ps.-Antiphon, *II Tetr.* 4.9.6
20. Ps.-Antiphon, *II Tetr.* 4.10.1
21. Thucydides, *Hist.* 3.58.5.4
22. Lysias, *Isodemus*, teste Harpocration, *Lexicon in decem Oratores Atticos* 66.7 (= *Frag.* 63, Th.)
23. Lysias, *Eratosthenes*, teste Harpocration, *Lexicon in decem Oratores Atticos* 66.7
24. Isocrates, teste *Suidae Lexicon* s.v. αὐθέντης
25. P.Cairo Zen. 4.59.532 (mid-3rd century BC)
26. Apollonius Rhodius, *Argon.* 2.754 (mid-3rd century BC)
27. Apollonius Rhodius, *Argon.* 4.479
28. Wisdom 12.6 (late 1st century BC/early 1st century AD)
29. Philo, *Det.*, 78 (1st century AD)
30. Josephus, *War* 1.582 (AD 70s)
31. Josephus, *War* 2.240
32. Appian, *Bell. Civ.* 1.7.§61.4 (mid-2nd century AD)
33. Appian, *Bell. Civ.* 1.13.§115.17
34. Appian, *Bell. Civ.* 3.2.§16.13
35. Appian, *Bell. Civ.* 4.17.§134.40
36. Appian, *Hist. Rom.* 12.4 (§23)
37. Phrynichus, *Eclogae Nominum et Verborum Atticorum*, s.v. αὐθέντης (2nd century AD)
38. Clement of Alexandria, *Strom.* 3.18.106 (ca. AD 200)
39. Clement of Alexandria, *Strom.* 4.4.16.3
40. Dio Cassius, *Roman History*, *Frag.* 9.38 (early 3rd century AD). Spelt αὐτοέντης

Appendix A2: Occurrences of αὐθέντης ‘master’

1. Euripides, *Suppl.* 442 (mid-420s BC)
2. *SEG* 34.1260.25 (= *I. Klaudiu polis* 70.II.25) (1st century AD)
3. *SEG* 39.1180.109 (AD 62)
4. *SEG* 39.1180.123
5. Hermas, *Sim.* 9.5.6 (1st/2nd century AD)
6. P.Fam.Tebt. 15.1.15 (AD 114/15)
7. P.Fam.Tebt. 15.1.31 (AD 114/15)

8. P.Fam.Tebt. 15.2.33 (AD 114/15)
9. P.Fam.Tebt. 15.2.48 (AD 114/15)
10. P.Fam.Tebt. 15.6.141 (AD 114/15)
11. P.Fam.Tebt. 15.6.142 (AD 114/15)
12. P.Fam.Tebt. 24.1.21 (AD 124)
13. P.Fam.Tebt. 24.3.69 (AD 124)
14. P.Fam.Tebt. 24.3.78 (AD 124)
15. P.Fam.Tebt. 24.4.87 (AD 124)
16. P.Fam.Tebt. 24.4.102 (AD 124)
17. *SB* 7404.2.31 (AD 117/38)
18. *SB* 7404.2.37 (AD 117/38)
19. *SB* 7404.2.45 (AD 117/38)
20. *SB* 7404.3.64 (AD 117/38)
21. P.Aberd. 20.11 (2nd century AD?)
22. Alexander Rhetor 2.1.6 in *Rhetores Graeci* (ed. L. Spengel; Leipzig: Teubner, 1856), p. 2 (2nd century AD)
23. Alexander Rhetor 2.1.6
24. Phrynichus, *Eclogae Nominum et Verborum Atticorum* s.v. ἀθέντης (2nd century AD)
25. Ps.-Clement of Rome, *Hom.* 18.12.1.4 (2nd century AD)
26. *Sib. Or.* 7.69 (2nd century AD)
27. Clement of Alexandria, *Strom.* 2.8.38.3 (ca. AD 200)
28. *Sib. Or.* 8.309 (2nd/3rd century AD)
29. *SB* 10205.21 (= P.Leit. 13.21) (mid-3rd century AD)
30. P.Oxy. 3813.60 (3rd/4th century AD)

Appendix A3: Occurrences of ἀθέντης ‘doer’

1. Polybius, *Hist.* 22.14.2.3 (140/120 BC)
2. Diodorus Siculus, *Bib. Hist.* 16.61.1.3 (ca. 40 BC)
3. Diodorus Siculus, *Bib. Hist.* 17.5.4.6

*Appendix B: Occurrences of ἀθεντικός and ἀθεντικῶς
(an asterisk marks the meaning ‘authoritative’)*

1. I. Mylasa 134.2 (2nd century BC)
2. I. Mylasa 134.6
3. Cicero, *Att.* 9.14.2 (49 BC)*
4. Cicero, *Att.* 10.9.1 (49 BC)*
5. P.Oxy. 2836.18 (AD 50)
6. P.Oxy. 260.20 (AD 59)
7. *PSI* 871.29 (AD 66)
8. P.Fam.Tebt. 4.1 (AD 94)
9. P.Soter. 23.20 (AD 106)
10. *Kerygma Petri*, Frag. 9 (AD 100–133)
11. P.Meyer 6.24 (AD 125)
12. *BGU* 2037.1 (AD 100–150)
13. *PSI* 1236.13 (AD 128)
14. 2 *Clem.* 14.3 (ca. AD 120–140)
15. *SB* 10500.35 (= *SB* 10756.35) (AD 133)
16. *SB* 10500.36 (= *SB* 10756.36) (AD 133)
17. *SB* 10500.38 (= *SB* 10756.38) (AD 133)
18. *SB* 10500.39 (= *SB* 10756.39) (AD 133)
19. P.Hamb. 8.26 (AD 136)

20. *SB* 11959.30 (AD 142)
21. O.Wilck. 1010.4 (30 BC/AD 323)
22. P.Fam.Tebt. 31.13 (2nd century AD)
23. *Chr.Wilck.* 173.12 (AD 151)
24. P.Col. vol. V, no. 1, verso; 4.3.57 (AD 160/180)
25. P.Erl. 46B.27 (AD 161–180)
26. Ptolemy, *Apotelesmatika (Tetr.)* 4.3.6 (AD 152–178)*
27. Ptolemy, *Apotelesmatika (Tetr.)* 4.4.11*
28. Ptolemy, *Apotelesmatika (Tetr.)* 4.7.5*
29. Ptolemy, *Apotelesmatika (Tetr.)* 4.7.10*
30. Ptolemy, *Apotelesmatika (Tetr.)* 4.10.9*
31. Vettius Valens, *Anthologiae, Appendix I* 381.21 (Pingree) (late 2nd century AD)*
32. *Chr.Mitt.* 227.17 (AD 189)
33. *Chr.Mitt.* 316.23 (= *BGU* 326.2.23) (AD 189/194)
34. P.Oxy. 719.30 (AD 193)
35. P.Oxy. 719.33 (AD 193)
36. Clement of Alexandria, *Strom.* 1.7.38.6 (ca. AD 200)*
37. Clement of Alexandria, *Strom.* 4.13.90.1 (a quotation from Valentinus)
38. Clement of Alexandria, *Strom.* 6.6.47.3
39. P.Oxy. 1473.40 (AD 201)
40. P.Hamb. 18.2.6 (AD 222)
41. Origen, *Frag. 116 in Lam.* 4.20 (p. 277.7; *PG* XIII, col. 660B) (first half of 3rd century AD)*
42. P.Laur. 4.14 (= P.Flor. 4.14) (AD 246)
43. *SB* 9298.28 (= *ChLA* 486B.29) (AD 249)
44. *SEG* 32.1220.23 (ca. AD 254)
45. P.Mich. 614.42 (AD 256)
46. P.Flor. 223.5 (AD 257)
47. P.Mich. 615.23 (ca. AD 259)
48. K. Buresch, *Aus Lydien* (1898) (= *Sussidia Epigraphica* 8) 46.24 (ca. AD 250/270)
49. *Chr.Mitt.* 75.4 (AD 265/66)
50. P.Oxy. 1475.44 (AD 267)
51. P.Oxy. 1562.4 (AD 276/282)
52. P.Oxy. 1115.5 (AD 284)
53. P.Oxy. 1115.7 (AD 284)
54. P.Oxy. 1115.9 (AD 284)
55. P.Oxy. 1115.18 (AD 284)
56. P.Oxy. 1208.5 (AD 291)
57. P.Charite 15.2.26 (before AD 312?)
58. *Chr.Wilck.* 466.18 (= P.Lond. 985.18) (4th century AD; before AD 312?)

Appendix C: Occurrences of ἀθεντέω

1. Philodemus, *Rhet.* (P.Herc. 220) (1st century BC)
2. *BGU* 1208.38 (27 BC)
3. Aristonicus Alexandrinus, *On the Signs of the Iliad, on Il.* 9.694 (late 1st century BC)
4. 1 Tim. 2.12 (1st or 2nd century AD)
5. Ptolemy, *Tetr.* 3.13.10 (late 2nd century AD)
6. Moeris Atticista, *Lexicon Atticum* s.v. ἀυτοδικην (read ἀυτοδικεῖν) (2nd century AD)
7. P.Tebt. 276.28 (late 2nd or 3rd century AD)
8. Origen, *Commentary on 1 Corinthians*; see C. Jenkins, 'Origen on 1 Corinthians. IV', *JTS* 10 (1909), p. 42 (3rd century AD)

Appendix D: Occurrences of αὐθεντία (αὐθεντεία)

1. *3 Macc.* 2.29 (1st century BC)
2. P.Babatha 5, 2; A.12 (AD 110)
3. *SEG* 18.740.7 (AD 165/169)
4. Irenaeus, *Haer.* 1.24.1.9 (Saturninus) (late 2nd century AD)
5. Irenaeus, *Haer.* 1.26.1.3 (Cerinthus)
6. Irenaeus, *Haer.* 1.26.1.10 (Cerinthus)
7. P.Mich. 425.22 (AD 198)
8. *Corpus Hermeticum* 1.2 (= *Poimandres*) (2nd–3rd century AD)
9. *PSI* 870.18 (2nd/3rd century AD)
10. P.Diog. 17.31 (2nd/3rd century AD)
11. Clement of Alexandria, *Paed.* 2.3.36.1 (ca. AD 200)
12. Clement of Alexandria, *Strom.* 4.1.2.2 (ca. AD 200)
13. Dio Cassius, *Frag.* 13.2 (αὐτοεντία) (early 3rd century AD)
14. Dio Cassius, *Frag.* 102.12
15. Dio Cassius, *Rom. Hist.* 58.24.4 (reading αὐτοεντία)
16. Hippolytus, *Haer.* 7.28.2 (Saturnilus) (early 3rd century AD)
17. Hippolytus, *Haer.* 7.33.2 (Cerinthus)
18. Hippolytus, *Haer.* 10.21.1 (Cerinthus)
19. Hippolytus, *Haer.* 10.21.3 (Cerinthus)
20. Origen, *Commentary on John, frag.* 95 (= 558.18 Preuschen) (ca. AD 236)
21. P.Oxy. 3048.1 (AD 246)
22. P.Oxy. 2664.1 (AD 245/248)
23. P.Oxy. 3050.2.18 (3rd century AD)
24. *SB* 11547B.10 (AD 252/53)
25. P.Oxy. 1410.1 (AD 285/86)
26. P.Panop.Beatty 2.4.92 (AD 300)
27. P.Panop.Beatty 2.6.156 (AD 300)
28. P.Panop.Beatty 2.9.222 (AD 300)
29. P.Panop.Beatty 2.9.229 (AD 300)

Appendix E-F: Occurrences of Other Derivatives

- E. αὐθέντησις, Vettius Valens, *Anthologiae* 1.1 (ca. AD 175)
 F. αὐθέντρια, *Tituli Asiae Minoris* V 795.17 (AD 236/45)